SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPR_50255**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CONNATE MYXEDEMA- AN INADEQUATE THYROID HORMONE PRODUCTION IN NEWBORN INFANTS**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1) References are too old, replace with more recent work** **2) The paper lacks scientific writing, no coherency in English language, see highlighted sections in the text** **3) The action of the statement in line 42 in text referes to self but the reference refers to Karin et al., 2002 (reference no.3**	Changes were made and highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Written consent obtained from patient caretakers not the parents of the child?	Consent was obtained from parents of the child.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Ethical approval should come from the patients parent or guadian	There were no ethical issues.