SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOPACS_67820**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUATION OF INDOOR RADON AND IT'S HEALTH RISKS PARAMETERS WITHIN AZUABIE, TRANS-AMADI AND NKPOGU COMMUNITIES, IN PORT HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1- why choosing the place? What's so interesting?** **2- how the detector work? And what is its component? You should mention in material and method** **3-tables 1 through 4 can be combined in one table after deleting latitudes and longtitudes and area point**	Done as requested
Minor REVISION comments	1- no need to have three decimal points in radon concentration like 6.660 can be written 7 2-some numbers need to be corrected : 47610 and 144,613 3-some important information needs reference 4- some reference need to be corrected (ALARA) 5- the range " from 3% to 14% " is to wide then he said 15% ? 6- No need to include the equations for mean value and standard deviation 7- cigarette smoking can be written smoking	Done as requested
Optional/General comments	Language need to reviewed Some information about the places may be added like the area size , history, the ventilation information, and why choose the ten places for placing the detectors	Done as requested

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issues