SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOCS_62634**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Quantification of Piperine by TLC densitometer method and forced degradation study in a Classical Ayurvedic formulation-Trikatu Churna**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Well-grounded work, the calibration curves and the degradation tests were satisfactory and the stability was attested. The method was validated and approved according to the proposed methodology.	Yes
Minor REVISION comments	Has any other method of extracting the compound been tested?	Two
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	