



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_55517
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the Interaction of Tetradecyl trimethyl ammonium Bromide Drug with Cetyltrimethyl ammonium Bromide at Different Temperatures
Type of the Article	?

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article seems good. However, some points are required to be moderated. Those points are suggested below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title of the manuscript is needed to be moderated, as its missing method & outcome related issue • Please try to improve the quality of the writing, as it's an international article • Mention the type of the manuscript • The grammatical issues related to the manuscript must be corrected • The abstract is missing a background plot • The abstract is too small. So, re-write • The abstract is lacking significance section • The introduction section must be structured concisely • The introduction must be revolved around the background, method, and outcome. However, here the latter two are quite indicated not the initial one • All of the points must be rationalized • The Result & Discussion sections must be precise • The article seems to miss some recent times articles from the reference section • Also, the link of the references must be added which is an ideal manner of article development of international stature. • The type of the manuscript should be mentioned 	<p>Done revision</p> <p>Correction effected</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	