SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOB_55607**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Biosynthesis of Gold and Silver Nanoparticles and their applications**
Type of the Article	**REVIEW ARTICLE**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• Even if the abstract contains more informations about obtaining nanoparticles from plants, only a few lines are written in the text. Thus, I think that this subject should be insisted on, mentioning among other informations, the compounds that participate in the process of obtaining and examples from the literature. • Regarding the characterization part of the nanoparticles, the first title is about the microscopic methods, and the first paragraph contains more informations about UV spectroscopy, which is not mentioned. • The applications are very poorly presented. • In the bibliography, the references are not presented in the same manner. The bibliography is old, out of the 50 references, only 5 are from the last 10 years. Also, there are references that are repeated, one of them even 4 times, with different numbers.	Done revision Corrected Done Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Being a review, more details and examples on the topics addressed and a majority bibliography of the last 5 years to keep up to date the readers, are necessary	Noted and revised

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	