SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJMPCP_65928**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparison of BOEY and PULP (Peptic Ulcer Perforation) SCORE in Assessing Post OP 30-Day Mortality in Gastric Perforation Patients at Moewardi Hospital, Surakarta**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	the article is clear and interesting from a clinical point of view	Thank you very much for the comment. We tried to make our article as clear and enticing as we could. We truly appreciate your kind words.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues within our article. Our research have obtained the ethical clearance needed from the Research Ethics Committee of Dr.Moewardi Hospital, Surakarta (No. 771 / VI / HREC / 2020).