SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJMPCP_65928**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparison of BOEY and PULP (Peptic Ulcer Perforation) SCORE in Assessing Post OP 30-Day Mortality in Gastric Perforation Patients at Moewardi Hospital, Surakarta**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Article has been well drafted and has some precise details.**	Thank you very much for the comment. We tried to make our article as precise as possible. We truly appreciate your kind words.
Minor REVISION comments	Only revision needed would be to looking at editing the length of the article and reducing the extra details mentioned in order to make it a precise read for students and academicians.	Thank you for the comment. We are aware that our article might be little bit too much in length. We've cut some extra details we thought quite unnecessary to be published such as table 2.0 that shows causes of death in our research. We've edited the length of the article and reduced it by one page. We hope the revised version we've made can fulfill your expectation and helps accelerate the process of publication needed.
Optional/Generalcomments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There are no ethical issues within our article. Our research haveobtained the ethical clearance neededfrom the Research Ethics Committee of Dr.MoewardiHospital, Surakarta (No. 771 / VI / HREC / 2020).