SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJL2C_55707**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Syllabus of an Undergraduate Language Course: A Critical Review on a Non-government University ESL Syllabus**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Selected topic is interesting if references could be more sound.	- Bangladesh is not a rich country, it is sometimes difficult to have all the research materials at a time. Therefore I wish you consider the shortcomings. The author has tried to level best to make the topic sound. - A minor correction is expected and welcome.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	• **Author(s) had tried their best.** • **But matter of fact is that less hard copy references diminish the work.** • **Basically on technical ground research article is sound.** • **Selected topic is interesting.** • **Tables help the research article to show its strength.** • **No need for appendix questionnaire.** • **If author(s) wants to keep the 21 paper length of research article than its an advice to write a comparative study to Brown's criterion for vital components of a comprehensive syllabus.**	- Bangladesh is not a rich country, it is sometimes difficult to have all the research materials at a time. Therefore I wish you consider the shortcomings. - The author has no intention to keep any particular paper length. Rather the the author has absolute faith on the editorial board for the best possible result. - It is hoped now that the paper will be worthy of being published within the current issue.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	