



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_60171
Title of the Manuscript:	IMMUNOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO RECOMBINANT HEPATITIS B VIRUS (HBV) VACCINE AMONG VACCINATED ADULTS IN KADUNA SOUTH, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-The results of the two vaccine shots is questionable. 2-The discussion of the two vaccine shots is neither logical nor accepted. 3-The post-vaccination sero-conversion does not mean protection it means the strength of the humeral immune response to vaccine. So the points 1-3 should be amended everywhere in the text</p>	<p>1. All results were analysed using the laboratory results of tested samples and participants response of filled questionnaires by each participant. 2. That is likely due to the fact that the study wasn't able recruit equal number of respondents in the one shot, two shots and three shots category due to poor uptake of the vaccine by adults and the unwillingness of vaccinated adults to participate in the study.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Some words stick together in more than once in the text. Please amend it.	
Optional/General comments	Thanks for strait English language.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	