**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJESS_64977** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **IMPROVING FOOD CHOICES OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN USING NUTRISCIE-CARD GAME** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **1.** In methodology part,<br>a) why choose only 3 schools for validation and only one school for effectiveness?<br>b) **Why only 18 school children age as respondent? any sample size calculations? Whether they are in both validation and effectiveness phases?**<br>c) **Study period not mentioned?**<br>d) **In third last paragraph its written experiment and control *treatment.* while this is a pre-post design study... No treatment is there?**<br>**2.** In result part, its written in last line of description of table 3: that "No change ( preferred) was noted to soft drinks, fruit drinks, canned goods and processed foods." While in table 3 there written improved choices.<br>**3. In discussion part , almost like literature view or finding of the study...No comparative study mentioned?** | Correction made<br><br>Done<br><br>Done<br><br>Done |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | 1. In introduction part, something about **NUTRISCIE-CARD GAME or its importance should be th**ere<br>2. In methodology part, in 1st paragraph its written 4 phases but described only 3 phases | Done |
| **Optional/General** comments | 1. It's a good study | |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | |