SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_64977**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMPROVING FOOD CHOICES OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CHILDREN USING NUTRISCIE-CARD GAME**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The whole manuscript has to be written clearly. Needs more explanation** **Grammatical errors has to be corrected** **Objectives of the study should be explained clearly** **Key words of abstacts are not specific** **T test values should be displayed in the table**	The reviewer failed to point which part of the manuscript is vague and which needs more explanation. I think the manuscript presented the issue from global perspective to local leading to the gap which clearly defined the problem or issues under study. This also holds true to grammatical errors that needs to be corrected. Objectives needs not be explained as these are basis of the entire manuscript. Key words are specific as these contained the keywords found in the title. T critical values are displayed as part of the table 5th column labelled as tabular t @ 5%
Minor REVISION comments	Recent references are to be quoted Cite atleast 15 references from authentic sources	Sources of references are taken from google scholar.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	