SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJESS_57352**
Title of the Manuscript:	**FOLLOW UP SURVEY OF 2015-2017 MASTER'S GRADUATES OF COMPARATIVE ECUCATION PROGRAM IN ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY**
Type of the Article	**Extensive study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **For such an extensive research study, it is strongly suggested to incorporate much more literate sources into the body of study.** 2. **The chapter of Discussion should be included too.**	Literate sources (document analysis) have been added to the body of study Discussion part has been extended
Minor REVISION comments	• There are a lot of formal and grammar mistakes, e.g. missing spaces between words; redundant spaces; grammar errors (… "researcher collect…", p. 1; - singular); missing dots at the end of sentences; china (small letter, p. 27); "un satisfaction" (p. 30); etc. • Page 12: "… this year (2019)…" But, currently is already 2020. • Following phrase is presented twice: "…that a good picture of program effectiveness can be painted." • All subchapter in Chapter 4 numbered by 3 (not 4). Moreover, some of them have the same number.	All correction are made clearly
Optional/General comments	• Size of some of Charts/Figures could be smaller. • On the other hand, some of study's passages could be accompanied by some Tables or Charts.	Size of charts have been re-sized and changed to editable version from the previous picture format

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	