SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)		Authors' response to editor's comments
1.	The authors attended to the raised issues acceptably.	1
2.	However, in the revised version, the abstract was paragraphed and needs not.	2. Paragraphed abstract was corrected.
3.	There was no description of statistical method employed in analyzing the data and the set confidence level for acceptance of significant difference in mean yet standard deviation or standard error of mean was indicated in the numeric outcomes.	3. The statistical analysis information included in the text.
4.	The seeming conclusion is merely a summary of the outcomes hence unnecessarily lengthy for a valid conclusion that should be limited to answering the research question as guided by the study aim	4. corrected and removed the summary from conclusion part and included some of the information in the discussion.
5.	However, there is need to address these significant shortcomings	5. All addressed.

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)