SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEE_57090**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Medical Waste Generation during COVID- 19 (SARS-CoV-2) Pandemic and its Management: An Indian Prospective**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The communication is based on a review work carried out on the various techniques available on the management of waste generated during COVID-19. The article is based on the guidelines issued by CPCB (India) on Handling, treatment and disposal of waste generated during treatment, diagnosis/quarantine of Covid-19 patients. Part Nothing informative has been provided based on a thorough literature survey carried out world over on the waste management of VOVID patients. The work is silent on the environmental impacts of waste generated during the treatment of COVID patients.** **The author should have done a thorough literature survey collecting reports/papers/articles published world over and could have published a good literature on the subject rather than depending on a document published by CPCB and some other secondary information which are readily available** **The author should have acknowledged the source of fig 1.** **Many aspects have been found to copied from Wikiwand.**	This paper has summarised COVID 19 BMW in context to Indian scenario. There is very few work available on this topic. Source is mentioned for fig 1. As such no material has been **Wikiwand.**
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is not accepted in this form	

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No issue