



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_52516
Title of the Manuscript:	Disaster Communication in 4.0 era : Review of Earthquake Disaster Mitigation in Lombok West Nusa Tenggara
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to revise English Language. - Need to include source of figures. - The results and discussion part needs a bit more discussion. It would benefit from confronting the results obtained with examples from other situations where there are similar systems in other countries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you for the revision and very good advice; some incorrect writing and grammar have been corrected. Source of figures also been equipped • The discussion section have been elaborated in accordance with several approach and theories.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Revise the way to reference the tables and figures to comply with the citation system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tables and figures have been revised to the right citation system
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is an interesting manuscript that can benefit from a bit more discussion with examples of initiatives in other countries / disasters. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thank you , for your comment; this is an article based on research results which financed by the ministry of high education of the republic of indonesia; and supported by the local government of lombok regency

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	