



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_51488
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvements of Crop Production Through Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Review Article

The general guideline for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article has many disconnected sentences, which need to be rewritten because they leave the text and the author's ideals very confusing. At many times, there are, also, repetitive paragraphs, because they were the same idea.	Really, I would like to give a heartfelt thanks to the reviewers for giving constructive and teachable comments. I agreed with the reviewer's and I just revised and corrected the comments given by the reviewers accordingly. Totally, I have revised/corrected those disconnected sentences and rewritten those comments and finally, I am trying to avoid or omit the repetitive paragraphs and mentioned those authors in the reference.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I also agree with the reviewer and I have no conflicting interest in this manuscript. I confirm that this paper is my original work and finally, I received no direct funding for this research.