



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_55309
Title of the Manuscript:	A MULTIPLE CRITERIA ANALYSIS OF CUSTOMERS SATISFACTION OF DISTANCE EDUCATION IN GHANA: EVIDENCE FROM BOLGATANGA CENTRE OF UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The methods are adequate. I would like to see a bigger dataset and some of them being old students. It will also be interesting to separate the results by subject (maybe there is more satisfaction in computer science and less in electronics, for example). A long term study collecting the answers from several years could also be interesting, and of course from other centres. The statistical analyses are adequate and the conclusions too. The references are adequate.</p>	<p>The authors have taken note of the concerns raised by the reviewer. However, this study is ongoing and would include old students and well segregated as suggested by the reviewer. Additionally, the authors are considering writing a book on the study and recommendations for future work would highlight which areas need to be covered to make it more comprehensive.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I have marked some mistakes in the paper. Probably there are more. Figure 1 has been destroyed by Microsoft Word. Transform it into a image and insert it into the document.</p>	<p>All the areas marked have been revised in red colour for easy identification and acceptance. Fig. 1 has also been revised according to your suggestion.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>It could be the start of a</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	