



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_54625
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategic Thinking in Resource Diversification: Assessing the Value of Nuclear Energy in Turkey
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please, specify the research problems well. Author must focus on the assessing value of NPP. What the strategic effort to develop the NPP in Turkey. In the theoretical view, author must underline the relationship between economic, energy, and resources. In the methods, author must design the policy analysis networks. In the explanation on the NPP, author can insert with empirical research.	Dear Sir/Madam, Thank you for your comments and feedbacks, The article considers the value of the NPPs as an important source of diversification from an energy security perspective. In the intro section I tackle with the research question. I added some more lines to make it more clear. Considering the increasing need for electricity in Turkey any kind of electricity source will be an added value. Section 2 and 3 focuses on the energy landscape and urgent need for new measures. In the paper I discuss the advantages of NPPs and their effectiveness in electricity generation. Page 8. The NPPs are not completed yet, therefore measuring their actual value is not possible. However in the paper I look at projected values of energy generation and compare it with current sources to prove that NPPs can indeed help to resolve some of the problems related to energy supply. I argue that NPPs should be part of the solution, along with other sources of energy, to electricity needs rather than being the only solution. Appreciate your time and efforts, Sincerely.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	