



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. - Statistical results are usually not discussed in the Abstract and hence can be avoided.</p> <p>2. - An important part that may be missing is the Literature Survey. In the Introduction, some references to earlier research is there but comprehensive literature survey is not existing in the paper. There ought to be some research on the use of the Policy Analysis Matrix (PAM) itself comparing it to other models. This is important as the conclusions of this paper are based exclusively on the PAM model</p>	<p>We thank the editor for the review of the manuscript and suggesting changes. IN response to the reviewer's comment, we have now revised the entire manuscript paper to improve our paper. We hope that the reviewer will be satisfied by these changes in the manuscript. We believe that these revisions have certainly improved the quality of the manuscript.</p> <p>1-Statistical results are usually not discussed in the Abstract and hence can be avoided.</p> <p>Removed</p> <p>We thank the editor for this comment. We have already added some studies.</p>