Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_53379**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact of Agricultural Price Policy on Main Crops in Egypt**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In general, a very good and attractive subject. The authors were very clear in their research plan. The abstract is clear and provides clear introduction to the parts of the paper The introduction is appropriate and uses the appropriate references The literature is satisfactory to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself to the methodology and later on to the discussions. The methodology is appropriate The results are clear, well presented appropriate to support the discussion about the objectives of the paper. The discussion is very good and appropriate because it does support the objectives declared through the paper Conclusion and recommendations are adequate but need to be validated with reported research Proofreading is needed Major problem with references: 1. Review all references for consistency according to the Journal Citation style	We thank the reviewer for the review of the manuscript and suggesting changes. IN response to the reviewer's comment, we have now revised the entire manuscript paper to improve our paper. We hope that the reviewer will be satisfied by these changes in the manuscript. We believe that these revisions have certainly improved the quality of the manuscript. We would like to thanks the reviewer for these kind words. Major problem with references: Review all references for consistency according to the Journal Citation style We are sorry because we follow the journal rules
Optional/General comments	**Copy of corrected manuscript is attached**	Received thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No