Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJEBA_53379**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Impact of Agricultural Price Policy on Main Crops in Egypt**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The references should be cited in the text.** **A brief background to the Agricultural Price Policy should be provided in the introductory section of the article.** **The conclusions should be aligned to the findings and objectives of the research.** **All recommendations should clearly speak to the findings and conclusions.**	We thank the reviewer for the review of the manuscript and suggesting changes. IN response to the reviewer's comment, we have now revised the entire manuscript paper to improve our paper. We hope that the reviewer will be satisfied by these changes in the manuscript. We believe that these revisions have certainly improved the quality of the manuscript. The comments are like the followings: **The references should be cited in the text.** We are sorry, This conflicts with the rules of the journal **A brief background to the Agricultural Price Policy should be provided in the introductory section of the article.** Response: We agree that. We have now extensively revised this section. For reference, please see Introduction section. **The conclusions should be aligned to the findings and objectives of the research.** Response: We have already improved the conclusions, for reference, please see conclusions section. **All recommendations should clearly speak to the findings and conclusions.** Response: We agree that, Thanks.
Minor REVISION comments	**The data collection/gathering techniques should be included in the abstract.** **The recommendations of the study should be included in the abstract.** **Minor spelling and editorial mistakes should be addressed.**	Okay We have already improved
Optional/General comments	**Generally, the manuscript is technically sound and sustains insightful remarks upon the agricultural policies, markets and prices and the wider agricultural growth perspectives of the Republic of Egypt, thus, it can be published after the consideration of the review comments suggested.**	Thanks for kind words

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No