



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a> .
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AJEBA_53056
Title of the Manuscript:	CAPITAL BUDGETING TECHNIQUES: ESTIMATION OF INTERNAL RATE OF RETURNS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clear-off some grammatical errors.</li> <li>2. Consider to add graphical illustrations of how the IRR characteristics relate to their determinant characteristics, and the different models of IRR estimation generate out of them.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper was proof read to correct grammatical errors</li> <li>2. An illustration of the derivative of IRR from a sketch is included</li> </ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I agree with the reviewer on the two points raised. Appropriate adjustments were made.