SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Cardiology Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJCR_53641**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ROLE OF NLR IN CONJUNCTION WITH CORONARY ARTERY INVOLVEMENT AS A BIOMARKER FOR THE MAGNITUDE OF CURRENT OF INJURY, TYPE OF INTERVENTION AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES IN STEMI**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **Abbreviations**…… …….. **All Tables**…… ……	**SUGGESTIONS:** **Exaggerate use of abbreviations (*in general*)!! ------ { *Review Style* } ……..!** **Examples: Page 3 Col 2 ---- { MI } used 7 times / 8 lines !!!** **Page 4 Col 1 ---- { NLR } used 8 times / 22 lines !!!** **Page 4 Col 2 ---- { ECG } used 7 times / 14 lines !!!** **…. etc ….** **----------** **Centralize all Figures!**	Page 3, Column 2: Repeated use of 'MI' has been corrected Page 4, Column 1 & 2: Rephrasing of sentences were done to reduce the repetition of 'NLR' Page 4, column 2: Number of time 'ECG' usage is reduced. _____ There are no figures in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments **ABSTRACT and TABLES 2 and 3** …….. **REFERENCES** ……..	**Reconsider the real significance of using 5 decimals, and also the meaning of the** ***"…follow up'* '(0.000+/-0.00000)…"!!** **Observe spaces among/between words.**	The reason for using upto 4 decimal places in the initial manuscript was that the magnitude of the parameter was less, Anyhow, as suggested by the reviewer we have corrected it to 2 decimal places which also conveys same meaning. Spacing is visible because text alignment is 'Justified'
Optional/General comments	***Suggestion: Define all abbreviations before use them !!***	Done

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	