SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJCRS_60180**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A STINT WITH CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT OF A HOLLOW VISCUS PERFORATION IN A COVID-19 POSITIVE PATIENT**
Type of the Article	**Case report**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please review the language of the manuscript since there are type mistakes. The case presentation itself should be without references, please move them to the discussion section thus improving the section and the review. Please take into account Taylor's original method in managing perforated ulcers (1946) as well as the more recent studies that demonstrated the possibility of conservative treatment. It would be nice to mention how was the patients COVID-19 managed at least in a few sentences. How were the comorbidities of the patients managed?	Corrected as per suggestion
Minor REVISION comments	The septic foci were drained, but was the liquid cultured with determination of antibiotic resistance? The title is long, may be the author would like to consider just "**CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT OF A HOLLOW VISCUS PERFORATION IN A COVID-19 POSITIVE PATIENT"**	Revised
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	