SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJCRS_56993**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Interesting Case of Broken Needle**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The case report is interesting although it is not new. This case was challenging because the radiological evaluation was not available and the patient was transferred to well-equipped other healthcare facility. This could prevent the stress on the parents and on the medical team especially from medicolegal point of view. The message of the author is very clear highlighting the importance of prevention of such incident.	Thank you very much for the consideration and your kind reviews.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	Nil ethical issues, Thank you for the review and comments. All mentioned changes are made.