SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJCRMH_67616**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Severe Anemia secondary to Valproic Acid therapy in a twelve year old girl**
Type of the Article	**Case report**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The text presents interesting data. However, it brings elements of visual support that add little to the understanding of the clinical picture. The discussion of the research findings is generic. I suggest deepening and better relate the research findings with the available literature. The authors also declare that all ethical issues have been met, but do not specify the approval number of the study. I suggest inserting an approval number in the article.	Thank you for the comments. Have elaborated on the figure legends in the text. The discussion part and its corelation with the literature review have been modified. According to institutional policy, general approval has been taken from the review board for case reports. Ethics committee approval is required only for original studies/ therapeutic interventions. Hence, number not mentioned.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	No ethical issues