SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJBGMB_63967**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessment of the inherent in-vitro antioxidant potential of Commelina benghalensis leaf extract**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In introduction part, have to mention and include about the work done by the other researcher on the same plant. In materials and methods, include collection of the plants and authentication of the plant. They prepared various various concentrations (50 – 500 µg/ml) of the extract, but have to mention in preparation of the extracts. Have to find out IC_{50} values. In conclusion, have to mention the methanolic extract of *Commelina benghalensis* **leaves. (work done only with leaves)**	Previous research done on the plant is briefly stated in the introduction. Collection and authentication of the plant has been fully stated in the manuscript. Statement on preparation various concentrations of the extract need only to be stated. Given details is quite unnecessary. Determination of IC_{50} is very important but that was not the crux of the experiment. The aim of the work was to determine the inherent antioxidant effect of the leaves and the results are quite explicit enough. The work was only done on the leaves and the conclusion has been modified accordingly.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	