Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_69124**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A REVIEW ON THE UTILIZATION OF ORGANIC ADSORBENTS FOR THE REMOVAL OF TOXIC METALS FROM WASTE WATER**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This is a hot topic, and very, very important research project these days. So the Abstract should include the main objectives and results of the manuscript, should summarize the concrete research gap.** **It would be useful to make a table that includes procedures, measurement tools, methods, results, and so on. It is important to highlight the work of the Authors and their results.** **References could also include standards, pollution limit documents, regulations, government documents, and so on.**	Thank you for kind encouragement. In the abstract objective were included, measurement tools, procedure and other discussion were made in the revised manuscript. References are also included with respect to usage different adsorbent for removal of the trace metals in waste water and relevant to current topic.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	