Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_58387**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Enhancing and Conservation of Ecosystem through Philatelic Education in Europe: Think Green concept (IV) - FDCs research study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This is an interesting study and the author or authors have collected an unique dataset i.e EUROPA Stamps 2016 containing "Think Green" theme. This paper is generally well written and structured. The Datas collected are quite supportive in respect to the research paper. The appendix should be included immediately.**	Thank you for your comments and appreciation!
Minor REVISION comments	A few minor changes are required in this paper. Name of the authors along with their affiliation should be included immediately after the Tittle of the paper. This is then should be followed by authors contribution which is not included in the paper. Also, I would like to inform to the author or authors to include a corresponding mail id just below the abstract. A slight spelling mistake is observed in the conclusion paragraph indicated as **"Appied"**, which should be written correctly as "**applied".**	Thank you for your comments and appreciation! Information related to author(s) name, affiliation, and contributions is under they are not the subject of the review, and as such were hidden from the eyes of the reviewer - this is the policy of the review.
Optional/General comments	**Few minor revision is required otherwise the paper is ready for acceptance.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	