SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_57423**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A SURVEY OF JUNIOR AND SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS AND PERCEIVED SKILLS OF ICT INTEGRATION IN TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract should not contains any stated items bolded, all items bolded should be remove and make all the abstract in one paragraph containing all information bolded. The abstract should includes all methodology, results, recommendations and should not be arrange in parallel order	
Minor REVISION comments	The keywords under normal circumstances should have maximum of five (5) words but in the work is six (6) but it seems correct due that the six keywords are all variables related tothestudy.The recommendations should be base on the findings of the study	I do agree and the needed changes have been effected.
Optional/General comments	The five (5) likert scale stated in your first result suppose to be four likert scale in the normal circumstances to have consistency withthe second result withtwo agreement's	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	