SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_57423**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A SURVEY OF JUNIOR AND SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS AND PERCEIVED SKILLS OF ICT INTEGRATION IN TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good methodology and organization. The tables with the results are adequate but some graphics would make the results easier to understand. There is no real interpretation of the results, it's only descriptive. There are some suggestions to improve the situation in Ghana, but a good justification of each one would be nice (maybe some references). Adequate references.	1. I do agree 2. I do not agree because the inclusion of graphics are optional and the results is clear in its current state. 3. I do agree and the necessary changes have been made. 4. I do not agree. 5. I do agree.
Minor REVISION comments	I found some small English mistakes and marked them in the paper (like in page 3). Some future work to follow the research would be nice. Something like "In X years we plan to ask again..."	1. I do agree and the needed have been effected. 2. I do agree but providing such statement is optional.
Optional/General comments	Good paper.	I do agree

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	