SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_51111**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Statistical Evaluation of the Effect of Process Parameters on the Depth of Penetration of tungsten Inert Gas Arc Cladding Weld in Mild Steel**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The paper should be revised and organised. After introduction it should be experimental work part and so on.** **2. Many grammar mistakes which should be revised and corrected along the manuscript.** **3. I only see a contour plot of the data obtained however there is no microstructure investigation or related mechanical properties testing and only focused on welding parameters which is not enough.**	Thanks for your observations and some of the grammatical errors have been corrected Microstructure was performed but this article is tailored to evaluate the mild steel weldment parameters and not the mechanical structures. Thanks
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	