Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_50831**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluating the Effect of Moringa (Moringa oleifera) Leaf Supplemented Feed on the Growth and Carcass Quality of Broilers in Calabar**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The abstract should not be more than 200 to 250 words. Line 8 to 10 should be removed. The abstract should start with this study was carried out in line 10.** **In line 13, methodology should be removed, line 14, it should be a total of 40 broiler chicks of 48 day old. In line 18, it should read the parameters measured were feed intake.** **Line 20, which kind of statistical analysis did he use? In your methodology, did you use 40 or 400 chicks? Because, if you have 40 chicks with 10 replicates, therefore, how many replicates do you have in one group; (A B C D).** **Please, kindly look at the number of chicks and the replicates.** **Please, in your results, align superscripts in weight gain.** **Line 28, after group C, write; which were not significantly different from each other.** **In line 36, remove nutrition.** **Line 42 should read "As a result of this".** **Line 61, the leaves of Moringa oleifera are good source of protein.** **In 87, before grinding into powder, remove the model of the blender.** **Line 100, each pen was supplied with clean water.** **Line 102 and 103, Experimental period.** **Line 106, Certified by** **Line 110, Chicks were assigned** **Line 114, the following parameters were measured** **Line 117, the feed was weighed on daily bases and then calculated weekly.** **Line 118, was calculated by collecting a left over** **Line 119, Totaled per week** **Line 121, feed intake = feed given - feed remaining** **Line 143, The heart, liver, and not river** **Line 154, It should be chicks fed on different inclusion level** **Table 3, The heart weight in group B should be looked at the superscript** **Line 204, the findings agreed** **Line 238, This study provides** **Comments** **Use APA Format of reference** **.** **Please, adjust the number of experimental animals.** **The author should have at least three (3) replicates of four (4) chicks in a group which gives us a total of 48 chicks.**	The manuscript has been modified accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	