SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAHR_55911**
Title of the Manuscript:	**USAGE OF MOBILE PHONES IN IMPROVING LIVELIHOOD AMONG HORTICULTURAL FARMERS IN PARTS OF KADUNA NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH ECO-ZONE: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IGABI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. While factual and maybe regarded as common knowledge, some statements need referencing, especially in the introductory section of the paper. 2. The author adopts a loose application of concepts such as knowledge/information and information transfer/dissemination. While this might be common during informal verbal discussions, this is wrong from a strict academic/conceptual point of view. Please revise based on the detailed comments in the draft paper. 3. Policy recommendations must be feasible and practical. Rather than calling for an impossible law the author should recommend that the federal government provides economic incentives, such as tax deductions for imported equipment, for mobile service providers to expand their mobile service coverage in rural/horticultural production areas. 4. Some policy recommendations, e.g. the need to reduce call rates, are disconnected from the study findings since exorbitant call rates are not highlighted as one of the key constraints. Please revise.	Corrected Manuscript revised Done
Minor REVISION comments	1. Improve writing style. E.g. reformulate sentences to ensure that no sentences are starting with numbers. 2. This is a journal article based on past research. As such the appropriate grammatical tense to use is the past tense. Please revise accordingly. 3. The draft manuscript has a number of grammatical mistakes and typos. These need to be rectified.	Correction done
Optional/General comments	4. The sample size is a bit on the low size but not low enough to render the findings invalid/insignificant.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	