



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_55911
Title of the Manuscript:	USAGE OF MOBILE PHONES IN IMPROVING LIVELIHOOD AMONG HORTICULTURAL FARMERS IN PARTS OF KADUNA NORTHERN GUINEA SAVANNAH ECO-ZONE: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF IGABI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF KADUNA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																																								
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A separate detailed report is attached as a separate document.</p> <p>The author needs to reduce wording in the title by removing unnecessary wording as well as use simple terms like 'use' in place of 'usage'.</p> <p>The author needs to review punctuation and grammar throughout the document.</p> <p>Some sentences are too long combining 2 or 3 points. This makes them difficult to read and follow. The author should review and break them down.</p> <p>The report sounds superficial lacking details in methodology, results and conclusion. More detail needs to be given especially in the results section. The results section is more like a repletion of tables in words lacking any discussion or further description or explanation.</p> <p>Visual presentations like graphs would break monotony of tables and makes comparison much easier.</p> <p>The last set of results on regression lack important information and are not quite clear. What exactly was regressed against socio-economic factors.</p>	<p>CORRECTED</p> <p>DONE</p> <p>DONE</p> <p>REVISED</p>																																								
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I numbered lines in the script and will refer to that line numbering.</p> <p>Author should reduce wording by removing unnecessary wording and use simple terms like 'use' instead of 'usage'</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Line</th> <th>Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>8-9</td> <td>Break sentence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11</td> <td>Data is treated as singular</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12, 14</td> <td>Report should be in past tense that is were instead of are</td> </tr> <tr> <td>18</td> <td>Punctuation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>21</td> <td>at P<0.05 or remove at and leave the brackets. No need to write level of significance after the P value.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22</td> <td>Is government legislation or finances enough to improve network and reduce cost... cost factors in running costs and determined more by network provider unless government subsidises</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Keywords</td> <td>Improving is too general</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30</td> <td>Redundance (producing)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30-32</td> <td>Rephrase/review sentence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>34</td> <td>Rephrase sentence, remove brackets.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>36</td> <td>Remove second comma</td> </tr> <tr> <td>39</td> <td>Specify phone type. Remove colon.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40</td> <td>Remove comma</td> </tr> <tr> <td>41</td> <td>Rephrase</td> </tr> <tr> <td>42</td> <td>Specify phone type</td> </tr> <tr> <td>46</td> <td>Review punctuation.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>47</td> <td>Remove also and very.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>49</td> <td>Last sentence to be moved to paragraph around line 39</td> </tr> <tr> <td>51</td> <td>Review gramma</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Line	Comment	8-9	Break sentence	11	Data is treated as singular	12, 14	Report should be in past tense that is were instead of are	18	Punctuation	21	at P<0.05 or remove at and leave the brackets. No need to write level of significance after the P value.	22	Is government legislation or finances enough to improve network and reduce cost... cost factors in running costs and determined more by network provider unless government subsidises	Keywords	Improving is too general	30	Redundance (producing)	30-32	Rephrase/review sentence	34	Rephrase sentence, remove brackets.	36	Remove second comma	39	Specify phone type. Remove colon.	40	Remove comma	41	Rephrase	42	Specify phone type	46	Review punctuation.	47	Remove also and very.	49	Last sentence to be moved to paragraph around line 39	51	Review gramma	<p>REVISED THE TEXT</p>
Line	Comment																																									
8-9	Break sentence																																									
11	Data is treated as singular																																									
12, 14	Report should be in past tense that is were instead of are																																									
18	Punctuation																																									
21	at P<0.05 or remove at and leave the brackets. No need to write level of significance after the P value.																																									
22	Is government legislation or finances enough to improve network and reduce cost... cost factors in running costs and determined more by network provider unless government subsidises																																									
Keywords	Improving is too general																																									
30	Redundance (producing)																																									
30-32	Rephrase/review sentence																																									
34	Rephrase sentence, remove brackets.																																									
36	Remove second comma																																									
39	Specify phone type. Remove colon.																																									
40	Remove comma																																									
41	Rephrase																																									
42	Specify phone type																																									
46	Review punctuation.																																									
47	Remove also and very.																																									
49	Last sentence to be moved to paragraph around line 39																																									
51	Review gramma																																									



SDI Review Form 1.6

	52	What is our times? More scientific or professional terms like current would be better	
	53	Governments not government	
	56	Last part not making sense	
	57	Not making sence	
	67	Review punctuation	
	68	Review gramma	
	67-72	Rephrase sentence.	
	76	Rephrase sentence	
	80	Phone type	
	85	What applications, specify, give examples , clearly explain where apps were not user friendly	
	91	What barriers- give examples	
	99,102	Socio-economic not economics	
	110	Between	
	118	Repetition/ redundancy	
	121-124	Align text	
	122	Structured	
	125	Remove ?	
	126	Remove ?	
	142	What statistical package was used?	
	146	Review punctuation	
	148	What model was used	
	177	Review gramma	
	178	Data supporting this is presented much later in a different section	
	186	Point not clear that is relating household characterisation to horticulture activity	
	188/195	Why CAPS in the title?	
	Table 2 S/N 3	Grammar	
	198-201	Rephrase. Review punctuation.	
	199	Typo error	
	203-204	Are there any statistics on income	
	204	Edit punctuation. Review grammar	
	208	Enomous not appropriate	
	214	Review sentence	
	219	Edit capital letters in the middle of sentence	
	220	Is fraud the same with limited coverage?	
	236-240	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Author could have given more detail on the relationships e.g. how exactly did family size or education affect mobile phone use by farmers. ii) Various perceived uses are listed in Table 2. What was used in the regression against socio-economic factors? 	
	Overall comments	Refer to Rev_AJHR_55911	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments			



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	