SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_63330**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Identification of socio-economic factors affecting uptake of banana value addition by farmers in Chuka Sub-County, Tharaka Nithi County, Kenya**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Please state the methodology in abstract** **Number of samples in your research in abstract**	Methodology and sample size were stated as per the review.
Minor REVISION comments	Please state the state of art from your research such as what is Please add implication from your research, especially for regulator or farmers.	The implication of the study to farmers and policy makers was added.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	A letter of introduction and request to participate in the study was addressed to the respondents. The study was done according to the guidelines of National Commission for Science, Technology & Innovation (NACOSTI) Kenya and Ethics letter from Chuka University.