Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_58667**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Physical and Financial Performance Analysis of Shivamogga Milk Union Limited (SHIMUL)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments Statement of problem	There should be clear statement of need; why you need to deal on this issue try to state on the introduction part	Included it.
Minor REVISION comments	➢ It is suggested that the "Abstract" should be organized more logically, including the background, objective, method, result and conclusion. Please check and revise. ➢ Conclusion seems repetition of the stating the result. It is better to conclude general remarks based on the finding rather than stating each and every result parts. ➢ Recommendation" is suggested to be forwarded as per the finding of the study.	Corrected it. Included
Optional/General comments	✓ Obviously the author has done sufficient work on this topic. The research method is appropriate. Besides, the results are presented explicitly by the tables and figures and the author gives a comprehensive analysis in discussion. However, there are some parts which need to be modified in the paper. The author is suggested to make some revisions so that the paper will be better. ✓ **Inconsistency:** There are some topographic errors like inconsistency of words in the text (Eg. Per cent and percent, analyze and analyse etc) ✓ Most of the ideas stated in the introduction part lacks source or evidence from which they are taken ✓ References most of the sources used are out dated	 Corrected it Added Extra references were added

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	NO