| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_AJAEES_58477** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Association between Selected Independent Variables and Adoption Level of Farmers about Recommended Production Technology of Fennel** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy )

SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | 1. Some part of this study (especially your research method) has relations with "Adoption of Recommended Production Technology of Fennel Cultivation by the Farmers in Nagaur District of Rajasthan, India "which was done by Sunil Kumar Sharma1*, N.K. Sharma2 and S. R. Kumawat1 https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.603.115 .Therefore**, try to justify some reasons that makes your study more unique than others. Clearly put your study gap in your introduction.**<br><br>2. Under the research method, the following points should be valued.<br>❖ The researcher should put the criteria or baseline which was used to classified farmers as large, small and marginal. See page line 8<br>❖ There is no any source when the researcher used "prepared list of fennel growing villages"? It should be figurative. See page 3 line 6<br>❖ From how many villages and why you used random if the potentiality of funnel production might vary village to village? See Page 3 line 7<br>❖ There is no clear identification Nagaur district with other Nagaur place which was put under Nagaur , see page 3 line 2.<br><br>3. Your result said that "the education, caste, size of land holding, family type and family size are insignificant "Therefore, why you discussed those **insignificant variables** in your result and discussion? To me, omit those variables and focus on the variables which are statistically significant.<br><br>4. Since the aim of most researches are filling the gap through producing good findings however, this study has no any policy input or recommendation. Therefore, try to **add some policy implications**/recommendations based on your findings. | 1. As per your appropriate suggestion the relevant points are compiled in highlighted portion of introduction part in manuscript.<br><br>2. Following points of research methods included as under:<br>❖ Standard criteria of land holding used.<br>❖ The source of prepared list of fennel growing villages was taken from revenue and agriculture department.<br>❖ Total eight villages were selected for the investigation. The random selection of villages was done due to not much difference in the fennel production of village wise list so prepared.<br>❖ The correction was done. The word "Nagaur" was used two times for both district and tehsil.<br><br>3. The result of attributes found significant in the study was also discussed in the manuscript. Whereas, the results only mentioned for the attributes recorded non-significant.<br><br>4. The recommendations are compiled with the conclusion for policy implications. |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | 1. It is better if there is **description of study area** under your research method<br>2. "Research Methodology" is name of course, therefore **try to use "research Method"**<br>3. There is no **page number**<br>4. In the discussion part, your study is not supported by other scholars who did on fennel and there is poor discussion (e.g. see page 7 line 4-10 ), in this pages there was two debating ideas but this study simply pass it. Therefore, the study clearly discussed and it should try to use other supportive findings which are directly related with fennel production. | 1. The description of study area is mentioned with references.<br>2. Changed as suggested.<br>3. Page number included in the manuscripts file.<br>4. The other supporting findings on fennel crop are added in the introduction as well as discussion part. |
| **Optional/General** comments | In general, the paper is good if the given comments are included in its correction. | All the corrections are made as per the comments. |

**PART 2:**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | There is no any ethical issue. |