SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_58477**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Association between Selected Independent Variables and Adoption Level of Farmers about Recommended Production Technology of Fennel**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Introduction:** • It is necessary to include the relevant study results (literature review) to show the novelty of this article • It is necessary to include relevant theories (for example: theory of innovation adoption) to make the concepts clearly	• Relevant literatures and references incorporated • The G. Trivedi scale used for classification and scoring of attributes viz., education, caste, family type and family size and standard criteria for land holding for respondents. • To measure the adoption level of respondents adoption test developed by Chaturvedi (2000) was used for the study. The above points incorporated as suggested.
Minor REVISION comments	Please check the literature writing technique. In this article, the first reference is number 10 (in sequence 10, 4, 9, 2, 1, 5, 6, 3, 7, 8). According the Vancouver system, the first reference is number 1, the second number 2, and so on.	All of literature and references have been arranged in the Vancouver manner.
Optional/General comments	This article is very interesting as a preliminary study needed in increasing adoption of Recommended Production Technology of Fennel.	Thank you for your appreciation

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	There is no any ethical issue.