



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_54091
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVESTOCK SECTOR IN INDIA- A CRITICAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Introduction: Rewrite justifying objective of the work</p> <p>Methodology</p> <p>Period of data contradicts the data period cited in Introduction/abstract</p> <p>Should be rewritten citing reference of each type of data</p> <p>What was the basis?</p> <p>Results and Discussion</p> <p>May be reorganized following the comments given in the text</p> <p>Pl. justify the changes bringing rural socioeconomic changes in the discussion, data presentation is not enough to justify changes</p> <p>Table 1 and Table 2 may be combined in one; compound growth is presented in Table 3; they are not at all required here to present and to shown year wise. Data repetition must be avoided. Trend in population change and in the composition of national herd like, large ruminant (cattle, buffalo, cows/bulls) or small ruminant herd (goat/sheep/dairy goat etc) or poultry flocks (commercial/scavenging/duck over a period of 1951 to 2016 would be more demanding to readers; year to year data or period to period data is not at all respond to the need of the objective of the article.</p> <p>Table 3 and Table 4 may be combined together into a revised Table shown in the text</p> <p>Table 5, 6, 7, & 8 may be combined and presented following a Table given in the text; any trend may be shown in graphics</p> <p>Similarly Table 9, 10, 11 & 12 may be combined together</p> <p>Please see the comments given in the text</p>	<p>We agree with the valuable comments given by the reviewer, we have tried our level best to do the corrections</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Text may be improved, citing similar works</p>	<p>We have done the necessary corrections as per the standards of journal</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>The article may be revised and published as full article</p>	<p>Please publish the corrected article in full length.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	