Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_53716**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Factors Influencing smallholder farmers participation in collective marketing and the extent of participation in Improved Indigenous Chicken markets in Baringo, Kenya**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author need to rework a few areas of the manuscript for example: 1. The theoretical inputs do not match the theoretical format, what is given as theoretical should be imported from what is the background / introduction, the author should write the introduction covering the rationale for the study, the significance and the problem statement which is lacking to be combined with the objectives of the study/ paper which is already given. 2. There are need to rework the **conclusion** and there are **no recommendations** at all. 3. The **policy implications** do not address the findings of the study, instead it address different aspects not being part of the study. 4. The references need attention with regard to correctness of the sources, and to be italicised where journals have been used.	1. Statement of the problem included as …Despite the open benefits of collective marketing which include better prices, greater control of quality and strong social capital, majority of chicken farmers in Baringo County opt to market individually. It is not clear which factors influence farmers' marketing decisions as well as which factors contribute to increased participation in agricultural output markets. Significance/rationale of the study included as…. The results of this study support the United Nation's goal 2.3 in the vision 2030 agenda for sustainable Development of ensuring the doubling of farmers' income through the provision of employment and market participation. The results generated from this study are useful in understanding the extent to which collective action affects participation in improved indigenous chicken markets by smallholder farmers in the study area. It is also helpful to policy makers in government and NGOs as it highlights whether collective marketing can be used to improve indigenous chicken farming in Baringo County and the country at large. This study also add into the existing body of knowledge on collective marketing in improved indigenous chicken markets. The findings of this research are useful to other researchers through the recommendations for further research that have been made based on the findings. Theoretical framework. …. This has been improved as shown in the yellow highlighted text in the main document. It now shows a link with the introduction/background. 2. Conclusion --- Conclusion has been reworked as shown in the main document. **3. Recommendations...** Recommendation has been included as shown below:. For better participation in collective marketing, less educated farmers should be supported to gain necessary skills for improved indigenous chicken farming and marketing through trainings and better link to extension services. The government should also endevour to improve the road infrastructure in the study area in order to contain the high costs associated with transport which is a great hindrance to value chain upgrade. For greater participation in improved indigenous chicken markets farmers should be supported and empowered to deal with pertinent issues such as cost of farming, livelihood diversification, and skills gap. Carefully designed interventions strategies on the part of the government and other stakeholders (NGOs and farmers organizations). 4. References – This has been done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Consider spelling e.g a) In the reference it is indicated as, Edward Martey not Martey Edward unlike in the text in page 13. b) check the name of the journal it seems to be repeated as Econom. J. Econom. Soc.?? In the reference page. c) Remove bracket in the Tobin 1958, page 5 d) Remove brackets and write in full (G.O. K) first line of the list of reference	b) Editted as J. Econom. Soc. c) Editted as (Tobin, 1958). **d) Edited as Government of Kenya (2019)** e) **The later 3.2 changed to 3.3** f) Journals … all journals titles are now italicized

	e) correct sequence of 3.2 as duplicated instead of 3.3 in page 13 f) all journals should be italic g) See additional detailed comments in the text/ manuscript.(e.g. incomplete references in page 16-17)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	