Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_66850**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Effects of Extraction Conditions on Extraction Yield and Syringin Content in Producing Standardised Tinospora crispa Aqueous Extract with High Antioxidant Activity**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Overall impression** The article is poorly written, major improvement is required. Any acronym (DPPH, FRAP, TBA and HPLC) must be written in full for the first time. many grammatical errors in the paper. Reduce spacing between the title of the sub-section and its content (apply it in the whole document). Unnecessary spacing between paragraphs. **Abstract** This sentence must be reformulated "Nevertheless, so far the knowledge on its extraction procedures towards producing standardized". **Introduction** Reformulate "The promising in …research" and "The kinds …and etc". Make the introduction more concise. The objectives of the study should be included at the end the introduction. **Methodology and results** For the sub-section title *Tinospora crispa* should not be abbreviated Section 2.11.3.4 does not exist… The equation must be numbered and written properly. Check your units. Is Syringin appearing at 16.22 ± 0.057 at any temperature (25-100) in TCAE? **Discussion** Improve the writing.	**Overall impression** Improvements over the whole article have been made. Acronym of DPPH, FRAP, TBA and HPLC was written in full for the first time as follows: - High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) – Page 4 - 1,2- diphenyl- 2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay, Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) Test and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) – Page 6 - Spacing between the title of the sub-section & between paragraphs in the whole article was reduced - **Abstract** The sentence was corrected as follows: The herbal plant known as *Tinospora crispa* is reported to have many beneficial effects on health and has great potential in future to be developed as a health product either in the form of traditional medicine, food supplements or in pharmaceutical preparations. However, so far knowledge on processing procedures to produce quality standardized extracts of this plant to be used in product development has still not widely reported. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the optimal extraction conditions for producing a standardized *T. crispa* aqueos extract (STCAE) with high extraction yield and high syringin content. **Introduction** Nowadays, there are many traditional health products on the market that use herbal extracts as its main ingredient and it is formulated either into capsules, tablets, liquids, pills, etc. [11]. However, to ensure its quality and safety is always guaranteed the products should be standardized based on certain standard of requirements [12]. The objectives of the study should be included at the end the introduction as follows: Thus, this study was aimed to investigate the effect of different extraction conditions on extraction yield, syringin content and antioxidant activity of standardized *T. crispa* aqueous extract. - Page 2 **Comment [kNO1]:** why don't you include it in the introduction? **Methodology and results** - The equation was numbered and the units was check properly. - Section 2.11.3.4 was removed. - Yes. Syringin appearing at 16.22 ± 0.057 at any temperature (25-100) in TCAE **Discussion** Improve the writing - Done
Minor REVISION comments	Figures can be reduced and put in portrait.	Figures were reduced and put in portrait.

Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	