SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Food Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_56651**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Studies of Nutritional values of Rough Rice (Oryza sativa) And Jangli Rice(Echinochola colona)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Introduction:** You need to justify the importance of getting the job done. what is the purpose of the study? "Oryza sativa belongs to family Poaceae and Echinochloa also belongs to family Poaceae." (reformulate the sentence so that it is not repetitive). **Methods:** A little more detail would be interesting. According to methodology (author). Statistical analysis was performed? **Discussion:** More details, quote from authors, compare results with other studies. Need statistical analysis to know if the nutritional differences between rice is significant. **Conclusion:** A conclusão não deve ser maior que a discussão. A conclusão deve ser curta e direta. There are parts of the conclusion that should be in the discussion. **References:** Should not appear in the text?	Correction made in the MS
Minor REVISION comments	It is a very simple job, which could be improved with a greater wealth of details. Does the magazine have a page limit?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	