SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Food Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AFSJ_56651**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Studies of Nutritional values of Rough Rice (Oryza sativa) And Jangli Rice(Echinochola colona)**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In the abstract there is a statement that 'Indian Himalayan saints observe fast and eat selected food during fast . These saints are disease free and live long life. Now a days world is suffering from Corona virus vegetarian diet and fast diet can prevent this' BUT .cannot find in the research text. 2. The references were found in the reference list , but not in the manuscript 3. There is no title for the Table. 4. Table was not referred in the text	Correction was done Revised Added
Minor REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	