

Editor's Comment:

In Reference to article entitled "Comparative Studies of Nutritional values of Rough Rice (*Oryza sativa*) And Jangli Rice (*Echinochola colona*)" submitted for publication to Asian food Science Journal.

The article cannot be recommended for publication in the present status. The comments given by the reviewers has not been incorporated properly by the authors. Author is suggested to incorporate the following recommended modifications in paper and resubmit for further evaluation. My decision is based on the following reason(s):

Comment 1: In "Abstract", objectives are inappropriate. Please write reasons behind the current study in 1 to 2 lines.

Comment 2: Introduction is not properly executed. Amend this section with following suggestions:

- First of all provide the background studies to set a foundation to understand the research problem.
- Then provide 3-4 lines about the rationale and objective of the study in its last portion to clear the motivation and reason behind the study.

Comment 3: "Materials and methods" is very short. Therefore, add methods in brief providing only mandatory information about the protocols along with reference.

Comment 4: "Result" add statistical analysis details for the data and add significance level in the table. In the Reviewer feedback the reviewer has asked for the same correction as "Need statistical analysis to know if the nutritional differences between rice is significant" which has not been incorporated by the authors.

Comment 5: The reviewer has commented as "I find work good but manuscript was written very poor. Lots of grammatical mistakes, space problems. Please apply spell check and remove unnecessary spaces in the article." This issue has not been resolved by the authors in the revised manuscript.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Papiya Bigoniya

Professor, Principal, RKDF University, India